

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,678	05/04/2005	Minoru Nakayama	3103-109	2059	
66458	7590 11/03/2006		EXAMINER		
	ONE P+D, PLC	NGUYEN, SON T			
1250 CONNE	CTICUT AVENUE, N.W.				
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3643		
			DATE MAIL ED. 11/02/200	DATE MAILED, 11/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/511,678	NAKAYAMA ET AL.	NAKAYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Son T. Nguyen	3643		
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence add	ress	
A SH WHII - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depended for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 M</u>	lay 2005	•		
		action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar		ters prosecution as to the r	morite is	
,	closed in accordance with the practice under E			HEHIS IS	
Disposit	ion of Claims				
	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.				
-1/63	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray				
5)[]	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
		-14:			
	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.			
	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce			•	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	: 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO)-152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[_ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National St	tage	
* 0	application from the International Bureau				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not			
	•		SON T. NGU P RIMA RY EXA	JYEN MINER	
• • •				<u> </u>	
Attachmen	• •	🗖	_		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of I	nformal Patent Application		
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)	_ ·		

Application/Control Number: 10/511,678

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6, drawn to a water tank.

Group II, claim(s) 7-21, drawn to a display device with models in liquid.

Group III, claim(s) 22-26, drawn to a display device or a model of creatures and/or object models.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features (STF) for the following reasons: group I lacks the models in liquid stored in the tank, which group II requires. In addition, groups I & II lack the STF of model or display having drive mean, polymeric actuator elements, coils, metal layers laminated through a polymeric electrolyte, etc., which group III requires.
- 3. In the event Applicant wishes to elect group III, this group contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species I: display or model device with drive means and polymeric actuator elements which bend controlling the phase of applied voltage (as described in claims 22 & 24).

Species II: display or model device with driving portion, coils, polymeric actuator elements with two metal layers laminated through a polymeric electrolyte (as described in claims 23,25 & 26).

Art Unit: 3643

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species I, claims 22 & 24. Species II, claims 23,25 & 26.

The following claim(s) are generic: none.

- 5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features (STF) for the following reasons: species I lacks the STF of a display or model device with driving portion, coils, polymeric actuator elements with two metal layers laminated through a polymeric electrolyte, which is required in species II.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Son T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-6889. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu from 10:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on 571-272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Son T Nguyen

Primary Examiner

AU 3643